UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:13-cv-10414-FDS

ROBERT S. TAYVINSKY and

KATHRYN TAYVINSKY, h/w,

,

Plaintiffs, : MDL No. 2419

Master Docket No. 1:13-md-2419-FDS

v. :

•

Honorable F. Dennis Saylor

:

UNIFIRST CORPORATION, A/D/B/A :

UNICLEAN CLEANROOM SERVICES,: INSPIRA HEALTH NETWORK, INC.: F/K/A SOUTH JERSEY HEALTH:

SYSTEM, INC.,

INSPIRA MEDICAL CENTERS, INC. F/K/A SOUTH JERSEY HOSPITAL,

INC.,

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendants.

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AGAINST UNAFFILIATED DEFENDANTS

Plaintiffs, Robert S. Tayvinsky and Kathryn Tayvinsky, h/w, complaining against the Defendants, allege as follows:

FIRST COUNT

- 1. Pursuant to MDL Order No. 7, entered in In Re: New England Compounding Pharmacy, Inc. Products liability Litigation, Master Docket No. 1:13-md-2419-FDS, the undersigned counsel hereby submit this Short Form Complaint and Jury Demand against the Defendants, and adopt and incorporate by reference the allegations in the Plaintiffs' Master Complaint, with attachments, and any and all amendments thereto.
 - 2. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New Jersey.

3. Plaintiff brings this action:			
On behalf of herself/himself.			
As the representative of, who is a living person.			
As the Administrator, Administrator ad <u>Prosequendum</u> , or other representative of the Estate			
of (hereinafter "Decedent"), who died on			
4. Additionally, Kathryn Tayvinsky, is the:			
Child/Children			
Other (Set forth)			
of Robert S. Tayvinsky, and is a resident of the State of New Jersey, and is hereby named as an			
additional plaintiff, and claims damages.			
5. Plaintiff asserts that the Plaintiff was administered New England Compounding			
Pharmacy, Inc. ("NECC") drug Methylprednisolone Acetate (hereinafter referred to as "NECC			
drug"), causing injuries and damages.			
6. The aforesaid administration of the NECC drug occurred on May 24, 2012 and			
July 12, 2012, administered by Kimberley Yvette Smith, M.D. a/k/a Kimberley Yvette Smith-			
Martin, M.D. at Inspira Health Network, Inc. f/k/a South Jersey Health System, Inc. and Inspira			
Medical Centers, Inc. f/k/a South Jersey Hospital, Inc. located in Vineland, New Jersey.			
7. Healthcare facility, Inspira Health Network, Inc. f/k/a South Jersey Health			
System, Inc. and Inspira Medical Centers, Inc. f/k/a South Jersey Hospital, Inc., are hereinafter			
collectively referred to as "Clinic Related Defendants."			

8.	Plainti	ffs adopt and incorporate by reference the following Causes of Action	
asserted against the Defendants in the Master Complaint:			
		COUNT II: NEGLIGENCE AND GROSS NEGLIGENCE (Against UniFirst)	
		COUNT III: NEGLIGENCE AMD GROSS NEGLIGENCE (Against Clinic Related Defendants)	
		COUNT IV: VIOLATION OF CONSUMER PROTECTION STATUTES (Against Clinic Related Defendants)	
		Plaintiffs allege violation of the following consumer protection statute: N.J.S.A. 56:8-1 <i>et seq</i> .	
		COUNT VI: VIOLATION OF M.G.L. C. 93A (Against UniFirst)	
		COUNT VII: BATTERY (Against Clinic Related Defendants)	
		COUNT VIII: FAILURE TO WARN (Against Clinic Related Defendants)	
		COUNT IX: TENNESEE PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS (Against Tennessee Clinic Related Defendants)	
		COUNT X: AGENCY (Against Clinic Related Defendants)	
		COUNT XI: CIVIL CONSPIRACY (Against Clinic Related Defendants)	
		COUNT XII: WRONGFUL DEATH PUNITIVE DAMAGES (Against UniFirst and Clinic related Defendants)	
		COUNT XIII: LOSS OF CONSORTIUM (Against UniFirst and Clinic related Defendants)	
		COUNT XIV: PUNITIVE DAMAGES (Against UniFirst and Clinic related Defendants)	
0	D1 - 1 - 4 1	CC	

9. Plaintiffs complied with N.J.S.A 56:8-20 by serving notice of this Short Form Complaint on the Attorney General.

- 10. Plaintiff, Robert S. Tayvinsky, claims to have suffered the following injuries as a result of the administration of NECC's drug: headaches, increased back pain, photosensitivity and other visual problems, extreme anxiety and mental anguish.
- 11. Plaintiff, Robert S. Tayvinsky, claims to have suffered the following damages as a result of the implantation of the prior administration of NECC's drug(s): he was caused to undergo further treatments; pain and suffering, loss of life's pleasures and other emotional distress; he has in the past and may in the future be compelled to spend money and incur obligations for further medical care and treatment; he has in the past and may in the future continue to suffer with pain and medical anguish; he is at increased risk of contracting meningitis; he may in the future suffer from an impairment of his future earning capacity; he may in the future continue to be disabled from performing his usual duties and avocations, all to his great loss and detriment.
- 12. The additional designated Plaintiff, Kathryn Tayvinsky, has suffered/will continue to suffer the following: deprived of the aid, companionship, consortium and services of her husband, Plaintiff, Robert S. Tayvinsky.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand Judgment against the Defendants awarding compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this Complaint to add allegations and claims against individuals or entities currently omitted (in light of the Court's order permitting a Master Complaint naming defendants affiliated with NECC and currently participating in mediation by December 20) and to add or amend allegations against Defendants named herein based, in part, on further discovery.

SECOND COUNT PLAINTIFFS v. CLINIC RELATED DEFENDANTS VIOLATIONS OF THE PRODUCT LIABILITY ACT NJ. STAT. § 2A:58C-1 TO 7.

- 13. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all other paragraphs in this Complaint as if fully set forth herein at length, and further allege:
- 14. Defendants were the manufacturers and/or sellers of contaminated methylprednisolone acetate steroid administered to Plaintiff.
- 15. Defendants harmed Plaintiff through the manufacture and/or sale of a methylprednisolone acetate steroid product that was not reasonably fit, suitable or safe for its intended purpose because it deviated from the design specifications, formulae, or performance standards of the manufacturer or from otherwise identical units manufactured to the same manufacturing specifications or formulae.
- 16. Defendants harmed Plaintiff by failing to contain adequate warnings or instructions with the methylprednisolone acetate steroid product, and/or designing the product in a defective manner. As a result, Plaintiff suffered personal physical injury, as well as pain and suffering, mental anguish and emotional harm.
- 17. By reason of the defective product manufactured or sold by Defendants as aforesaid, Plaintiff was caused to sustain serious and disabling personal, permanent injuries including, but not limited to, headaches, increased back pain, photosensitivity and other visual problems; he was caused to undergo further treatments; he has suffered extreme anxiety, pain and suffering, loss of life's pleasures and other emotional distress; he has in the past and may in the future be compelled to spend money and incur obligations for further medical care and treatment; he has in the past and may in the future continue to suffer with pain and medical anguish; he is at increased risk of contracting meningitis; he may in the future suffer from an

impairment of his future earning capacity; he may in the future continue to be disabled from performing his usual duties and avocations, all to his great loss and detriment.

18. As a result of his exposure to the defective product as set forth above, plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer pain, mental anguish and emotional stress, loss of enjoyment of life's pleasure, embarrassment and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand Judgment against the Defendants awarding compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

THIRD COUNT PLAINTIFFS v. CLINIC RELATED DEFENDANTS BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

- 19. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all other paragraphs in this Complaint as if fully set forth herein at length, as further allege:
 - 20. Defendants expressly warranted that the methylprednisolone acetate was safe.
- 21. Defendants did not conform to their expressed representations because methylprednisolone acetate was not safe.
- 22. By reason of the defective product manufactured or sold by Defendants as aforesaid, Plaintiff was caused to sustain serious and disabling personal, permanent injuries including, but not limited to, headaches, increased back pain, photosensitivity and other visual problems; he was caused to undergo further treatments; he has suffered extreme anxiety, pain and suffering, loss of life's pleasures and other emotional distress; he has in the past and may in the future be compelled to spend money and incur obligations for further medical care and treatment; he has in the past and may in the future continue to suffer with pain and mental anguish; he is at increased risk of contracting meningitis; he may in the future suffer an impairment of his future earning capacity; he may in the future continue to be disabled from performing his usual duties and avocations, all to his great loss and detriment.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand Judgment against the Defendants awarding compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury.

Respectfully Submitted,

PLAINTIFFS, ROBERT S. TAYVINSKY and KATHRYN TAYVINSKY, h/w,

By Their Attorneys,

SALTZ, MONGELUZZI, BARRETT & BENDESKY

/s/Michael F. Barrett
MICHAEL F. BARRETT, ESQ.
MARY T. GIDARO, ESQ.

Date: December 6, 2013